

By: Alex King, Deputy Leader
Geoff Wild, Director of Governance & Law

To: County Council – 13 September 2012

Subject: Petition Scheme Review

Classification: Unrestricted

Summary: The report invites the County Council to approve a number of changes to the Council's Petition Scheme, following a review by the Selection and Member Services Committee on 10 July 2012.

Introduction

1. (1) With effect from 1 April 2012, section 46 of the Localism Act 2011 revoked the requirements under the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 for councils to make, publish and comply with a scheme for the handling of petitions, and provide a facility for e-petitions.

(2) At its meeting on 10 July 2012, the Selection and Member Services Committee 2012 considered a report from the Head of Democratic Services reviewing the Petition Scheme (see attached as an **Annex**). Members were invited to express their views and make recommendations to the County Council with regard to aspects of the scheme that could benefit from being altered in the light of experience.

(3) The Selection and Member Services Committee agreed the majority of the recommendations in the report but made the following amendments to the original recommendations as set out below:

| Original recommendation | Recommendation by Selection and Member Services Committee to County Council |
|---|---|
| (a) that there be no change to the details that must be included for a petition to be valid (paragraphs 3(3) & (4) refer); | (a) (i) There be no change to the details that must be included for a petition to be valid, other than that petitions should be signed by people who live, work or study in Kent (paragraphs 3(3) & (4) of the report refer); Effect -This removes visitors to Kent from the list of those who can sign petitions |
| (e) the provision for a debate at County Council to be triggered by a petition that achieves 14,000 or more signatures; the provision for debates | (a) (v) Introduction of amended provisions for: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Debates for those petitions that achieve |

| Original recommendation | Recommendation by Selection and Member Services Committee to County Council |
|---|---|
| <p>for those petitions that achieve between 7,000 and 13,999 signatures at the appropriate Cabinet Committee; and provision for petitions that achieve up to 6,999 signatures to be referred to the appropriate Cabinet Member(s) for response.</p> | <p>10,000 or more signatures to be considered at County Council;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Debates for those petitions that achieve between 2,500 and 9,999 signatures to be considered at the appropriate Cabinet Committee; • District/Borough specific petitions of 1,000 or more signatures to be considered at the most appropriate local level (usually by a Local Board, Locality Board or a Joint Transportation Board); • Petitions that achieve up to 1,000 signatures to be referred to the appropriate Cabinet Member(s) for response, which may include a discussion at a Local Board, Locality Board or Joint Transportation Board (paragraphs 3(10) and (11) of the report refer), |
| | <p>(b) The Petition Scheme agreed by the County Council be reviewed by the Selection & Member Services Committee after 12 months.</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>Recommendations</p> <p>2. The County Council is invited to approve the following recommendations from the Selection and Member Services Committee:</p> <p>(a) Revisions to the Petition Scheme, together with the amendments and aspects to be retained, as set out below:</p> <p>(i) There be no change to the details that must be included for a petition to be valid, other than that petitions should be signed by people who live, work or study in Kent (paragraphs 3(3) & (4) of the report refer);</p> <p>(ii) Retention of timescale for processing and responding to petitions (paragraph 3(5) of the report refers);</p> <p>(iii) Replacement of the current list of ways that the County Council will respond to petitions with the following wording (paragraphs 3(6) to (8) of the report refer):</p> <p>“Each petition that does not have the required number of signatures to trigger a debate will receive a written response from the appropriate</p> |
|--|

Cabinet Member(s), which will set out their views on the petition and what action, if any, will be taken.“

- (iv) Retention of the provision to consider petitions on matters outside the County Council's direct remit but over which it may have some influence (paragraph 3(9) of the report refers);
 - (v) Introduction of amended provisions for:
 - Debates for those petitions that achieve 10,000 or more signatures to be considered at County Council;
 - Debates for those petitions that achieve between 2,500 and 9,999 signatures to be considered at the appropriate Cabinet Committee;
 - District/Borough specific petitions of 1,000 or more signatures to be considered at the most appropriate local level (usually by a Local Board, Locality Board or a Joint Transportation Board);
 - Petitions that achieve up to 1,000 signatures to be referred to the appropriate Cabinet Member(s) for response, which may include a discussion at a Local Board, Locality Board or Joint Transportation Board (paragraphs 3(10) and (11) of the report refer),
 - (vi) Amendment of the time allocated to the lead petitioner and Cabinet Member to speak on the petition at County Council or Cabinet Committees debates to three minutes (paragraph 3(12) of the report refers);
 - (vii) Retention of the facility for e-petitions (paragraphs 3(13) and (14) of the report refer);
 - (viii) Removal of the requirement for an officer to give evidence at the Scrutiny Committee if a petition requesting this achieves a certain number of signatures (paragraph 3(15) of the report refers); and
 - (ix) Amendment of the process set out in the scheme for reviewing the way that a petition has been dealt with, to refer any requests to the Selection and Member Services Committee and the terms of reference of that Committee be amended accordingly (paragraphs 3(16) and (17) of the report refer); and
- (b) The Petition Scheme agreed by the County Council be reviewed by the Selection & Member Services Committee after 12 months.

Peter Sass
Head of Democratic Services

Tel: 01622 694002
Email: peter.sass@kent.gov.uk

Background Information: *None*

By: Alex King – Deputy Leader
Peter Sass - Head of Democratic Services

To: Selection and Member Services Committee – 10 July 2012

Subject: Petition Scheme - Review

Classification: Unrestricted

Summary: This report provides information on the current Petition Scheme to assist Members in their consideration of possible amendments to the scheme in the light of the revocation the legal requirements around the administration of petitions.

Introduction

1. (1) With effect from 1 April 2012, section 46 of the Localism Act 2011 revoked the requirements under the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 for councils to make, publish and comply with a scheme for the handling of petitions, and provide a facility for e-petitions. This report proposes that the County Council retains a Petition Scheme but explains the options for amending the current scheme in the light of experience. A copy of the current Petition Scheme is attached (**Appendix 1**).

(2) Since the current Petition Scheme was introduced on 1 September 2010, there have been 101 Paper Petitions and 31 e-petitions submitted, of which 15 have triggered a debate at County Council. Attached as **Appendix 2** is a list of petitions received.

(3) The Petition Scheme was amended at County Council on 29 March 2012 to take account of the new governance arrangements.

Petition Scheme

2. (1) Prior to the introduction of the Petition Scheme, there were established processes in Directorates for handling petitions, but these were not consistent across KCC and there was no central record kept of petitions received and the responses given. The biggest advantage of the current Petition Scheme is that the public know that if they submit a petition they will receive a response and whether, depending on the amount of signatures, it will lead to a debate at County Council. The petition scheme sets out a transparent process which conforms with the “One Council” ethos and should be retained.

(2) Set out below are the individual elements of the current system and suggested amendments to them.

Guidelines for submitting a petition (paragraph 1 of the Petition Scheme)

(3) The current scheme states:

“(a) Petitions submitted to the County Council must include:

- (i) a clear and concise statement covering the subject of the petition. It should state what action the petitioners wish the County Council to take.*
- (ii) the name and address of the petition organiser (this is the person we will contact to explain how we will respond to the petition), and*
- (iii) the name and address and signature of any person supporting the petition. (Petitions can be signed by people who live, work, study in or visit the County Council’s area).”*

(4) Requirements (a) (i) and (ii) are administrative matters. Section (a) (iii) limits persons that can sign a petition to those who live, work or study in or visit KCC’s area. However, as it is not possible from a name and address to know if someone works or visits the area, officers have taken the view that as long as a name and an apparently valid address is used the signature is taken to be valid. Petitions are also checked for duplicate signatures if the number of signatures is near the trigger figure. Detailed checks would be very resource intensive and therefore cannot be considered at this time.

What the Council will do when it receives a petition (paragraph 2 of the Petition Scheme)

(5) The current process set out in the scheme is that an acknowledgement is sent to the petition organiser within 5 working days of receipt of the petition, confirming that they will receive a response to the petition within 20 working days of receipt, or in the case of an e-petition within 20 working days of the e-petition closing. This process mirrors the timescale for dealing with Freedom of Information requests and has worked well since it was introduced in 2010 and should continue.

How the will County Council respond to petitions (paragraph 3 of the Petition Scheme)

(6) The current Scheme sets out the following ways that the County Council may respond to a petition:

- (i) taking the action requested in the petition
- (ii) considering the petition at a Council meeting
- (iii) holding an inquiry into the matter
- (iv) undertaking research into the matter
- (v) holding a public meeting
- (vi) holding a consultation
- (vii) holding a meeting with petitioners
- (viii) referring the petition for consideration by one of the Council’s Cabinet Committees or in the case of cross cutting issues the Head of Democratic Services in consultation with the Chairmen of the relevant Cabinet Committees/appropriate Cabinet Members will determine which Cabinet Committee will consider the petition
- (ix) calling a referendum
- (x) writing to the petition organiser setting out our views about the request in the petition”

(7) In practise, any petition that does not receive the required number of signatures to trigger a debate at County Council is passed to the Directorate to ensure that a response is sent from the Cabinet Member.

(8) It is recommended that the following paragraph be added to the scheme to reflect current practise:

“Each petition that does not have the required number of signatures to trigger a debate will receive a written response from the appropriate Cabinet Member, which will set out their views about the request in the petition and what action, if any, will be taken.”

Petitions not directly related to County Council functions. (Paragraph 3(d) of the Petition Scheme)

(9) The current scheme includes provision for the County Council to consider petitions on matters outside its direct remit, but over which it may have some influence or “lobbying power”, e.g. rail services, police or NHS services. It is proposed that this provision should be retained as the County Council is an influential organisation.

Full County Council debates (paragraph 4 of the Petition Scheme)

(10) Since the introduction of the Petition Scheme, all of the petition debates at County Council have been on Executive matters, not matters on which the County Council is able to make a decision. Debates on executive functions can only inform any decision made by the Cabinet Member.

(11) Currently, the number of signatures required to trigger a debate at County Council is 12,000, or 1,000 for a County Council matter relating to a District area. As 1% of the population of the County Councils area is approximately 14,000, it is proposed that this figure be used to trigger a debate at County Council and petitions that achieve between 7,000 and 13,999 signatures be referred to the relevant Cabinet Committee for consideration and debate. As the Locality Board process matures, consideration can be given at a later date to referring some of these matters to the appropriate Board. Petitions that achieve up to 6,999 signatures would be submitted to the relevant Cabinet Member for a response.

(12) There needs to be a clear process so that petitioners know what to expect. Below are the key points of the current petition debate process:

- (a) Lead petitioner or representative(s) are given the opportunity to submit a written statement and have five minutes in total to present the petition at the meeting.
- (b) Debate of maximum of 45 minutes
- (c) Local Members are given the opportunity to speak first in the debate for up to 3 minutes each and the last speaker in the debate is the relevant Cabinet Member who may speak for up to 5 minutes.

To bring this in line with the current length of speeches for Members at County Council I propose that the lead petitioner and Cabinet Member speak on the petition

for three minutes. Members may wish to recommend amendments to the length of debates and speeches and whether a limit should be placed on the number of petition debates to be heard at any one Council or Cabinet Committee meeting.

E-Petitions (paragraph 6 of the Petition Scheme)

(13) The County Council's e-petition scheme went live on 1 September 2010. The software that supports e-petitions is a free module within our Committee Management system. At the time of writing this report, there have been 30 e-petitions containing 27,104 signatures.

(14) This facility would appear to be popular with the public. It is cost effective to administer and is a good public engagement tool. It is therefore recommended that it be retained even though there is no longer a legal requirement to do so.

Calling an officer to give evidence at the Scrutiny Committee (Paragraph 5 of the Petition Scheme)

(15) As there have been no petitions asking a senior officer to give evidence, I do not consider that it is necessary to include this provision within the new Petition Scheme now that the legal requirement has been revoked.

What happens if a petitioner feels their petition has not been dealt with properly (Paragraph 8 of the Petition Scheme)

(16) There have been no requests from petitioners to review the way that their petition was dealt with. The previous legislation required the scheme to make provision for an Overview and Scrutiny Committee to consider any requests from a petitioner to review the way that their petition was dealt with (in accordance with the new governance arrangements this was amended to the Scrutiny Committee).

(17) It is proposed, therefore, that any requests to review the way that their petition was dealt with should be referred to the Selection and Member Services Committee for consideration and the terms of reference of that Committee amended accordingly.

Recommendations

3. Members are requested to consider recommending to the County Council the following amendments to the petition scheme :

- (a) that there be no change to the details that must be included for a petition to be valid (paragraphs 3(3) & (4) refer);
- (b) the timescale for processing and responding to petitions be retained (paragraph 3(5) above refers);
- (c) the replacement of the current list of ways that the County Council will respond to petitions with the following wording:

“Each petition that does not have the required number of signatures to trigger a debate will receive a written response from the appropriate Cabinet Member(s), which will set out their views on the petition and what action, if any, will be taken.” (paragraphs 3 (6) – (8) above refer);

- (d) the retention of the provision to consider petitions on matters outside the County Council’s direct remit but over which it may have some influence (paragraph 3 (9) above refers);
- (e) the provision for a debate at County Council to be triggered by a petition that achieves 14,000 or more signatures, the provision for debates for those petitions that achieve between 7,000 and 13,999 signatures at the appropriate Cabinet Committee, and provision for petitions that achieve up to 6,999 signatures to be referred to the appropriate Cabinet Member(s) for response (paragraphs 3 (10) & (11) above refer);
- (f) the time allocated to the lead petitioner and Cabinet Member to speak on the petition at County Council or Cabinet Committees debates be amended to three minutes (paragraph 3 (12) above refers);
- (g) the retention of the facility for e-petitions (paragraphs 3 (13) & (14) above refers);
- (h) the removal of the requirement for an officer to give evidence at the Scrutiny Committee if a petition requesting this achieves a certain number of signatures (paragraph 3 (15) above refers);
- (i) the process set out in the scheme for reviewing the way that a petition has been dealt with be amended to refer any requests to the Selection and Member Services Committee and the terms of reference of that Committee be amended accordingly (paragraphs 3 (16) & (17) above refer).

Peter Sass

Tel No: 01622 694002

Email: peter.sass@kent.gov.uk

Background Information: *None*

Petition Scheme

1. What are the guidelines for submitting a petition?

- (a) Petitions submitted to the County Council must include:
- (iv) a clear and concise statement covering the subject of the petition. It should state what action the petitioners wish the County Council to take.
 - (v) the name and address of the petition organiser (this is the person we will contact to explain how we will respond to the petition), and
 - (vi) the name and address and signature of any person supporting the petition. (Petitions can be signed by people who live, work, study in or visit the County Council's area).
- (b) Petitions which are considered to be vexatious*, abusive or otherwise inappropriate will not be accepted and you will be contacted to explain the reasons for this.
- (c) *In deciding if a petition is vexatious the guidance used for the Freedom of Information act the starting point will be:

“Deciding whether a request is vexatious is a flexible balancing exercise, taking into account all the circumstances of the case. There is no rigid test or definition, and it will often be easy to recognise. The key question is whether the request is likely to cause distress, disruption or irritation, without any proper or justified cause”

- (d) In the period immediately before an election or referendum we may need to deal with your petition differently – if this is the case we will explain the reasons and discuss the revised timescale which will apply.
- (e) Petitions for a County Council debate should be submitted to the Head of Democratic Services & Local Leadership at least 14 days before the next available meeting. The Chairman shall have discretion to accept petitions on urgent matters after that deadline following consultation with the political Group Leaders.
- (f) If a petition does not follow the guidelines set out above, the County Council may decide not to do anything further with it. In that case, we will write to you to explain the reasons.

2. What will the County Council do when it receives my petition?

- (a) An acknowledgement will be sent to the petition organiser within 5 working days of receiving the petition. It will let them know what we plan to do with the petition and when they can expect to hear from us again. It will also be published on our website.
- (b) If we can do what your petition asks for, the acknowledgement may confirm that we have already taken the action requested and the petition will be closed. If the petition has enough signatures to trigger a County Council debate, or a

senior officer giving evidence, then the acknowledgment will confirm this and tell you when and where the meeting will take place. If the petition needs more investigation, we will tell you the steps we plan to take.

- (c) If the petition applies to a [planning application](#), is a statutory petition (for example requesting a referendum on having an elected mayor), or on a matter where there is already an existing right of appeal, such as council tax banding and non-domestic rates, other procedures apply.
- (d) To ensure that people know what we are doing in response to the petitions we receive the details of all the petitions submitted to us will be published on our website, except in cases where this would be inappropriate. Whenever possible we will also publish all correspondence relating to the petition (all personal details will be removed). When you sign an e-petition you can elect to receive this information by email. We will not send you anything which is not relevant to the e-petition you have signed, unless you choose to receive other emails from us.

3. How will the County Council respond to petitions?

- (a) Our response to a petition will depend on what a petition asks for and how many people have signed it, but may include one or more of the following:
 - (xi) taking the action requested in the petition
 - (xii) considering the petition at a Council meeting
 - (xiii) holding an inquiry into the matter
 - (xiv) undertaking research into the matter
 - (xv) holding a public meeting
 - (xvi) holding a consultation
 - (xvii) holding a meeting with petitioners
 - (xviii) referring the petition for consideration by one of the Council's overview and scrutiny committees* or in the case of cross cutting issues the Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership in consultation with the Chairman and Spokesmen of the Scrutiny Board will determine which overview and scrutiny committee will consider the petition
 - (xix) calling a referendum
 - (xx) writing to the petition organiser setting out our views about the request in the petition
- (b) *Overview and scrutiny committees are committees of Elected Members who are responsible for scrutinising the work of the County Council – in other words, the overview and scrutiny committee has the power to hold the County Council's decision makers to account.
- (c) The County Council will tell you what it intends to do with the petition within 20 working days of receipt of the paper petition or the close of an e-petition.
- (d) If your petition is about something over which the County Council has no direct control (for example the local railway or hospital) we will consider making representations on behalf of the community to the relevant body. The County Council works with a large number of local partners and where possible will work with these partners to respond to your petition. If we are not able to do this

for any reason (for example if what the petition calls for conflicts with County Council policy), then we will set out the reasons for this to you. You can find more information on the [services](#) for which the County Council is responsible here.

- (e) If your petition is about something that a different Council is responsible for, or for which we have joint responsibility, we will give consideration to what the best method is for responding to it. This might consist of simply forwarding the petition to the other Council for them to respond to or comment on, but could involve other steps. In any event we will always notify you of the action we have taken.

4. Full County Council debates

- (a) If your petition relates to a county-wide matter and contains at least 12,000 signatures it will be debated by the County Council (unless it is a petition asking for a senior council officer to give evidence at a public meeting (see below)). If your petition covers a County Council matter that relates to a specific District Council area it will require at least 1,000 signatures for it to be debated by the County Council. If this matter relates to more than one District Council area then at least a 1,000 signatures per District Council area will be required for the matter to be debated by the County Council.
- (b) The County Council will endeavour to consider the petition at its next meeting, although on some occasions this may not be possible and consideration will then take place at the following meeting.
- (c) The lead petitioner, or their named representative will be invited to attend the meeting and to submit a written statement of no more than 500 words, which should be sent to the Democratic Services Unit (preferably by e-mail) to arrive by 5:00pm on the Monday of the week before the County Council meeting. The relevant Directorate should also submit a brief position statement/briefing note by the same deadline;
- (d) At the meeting of the County Council the petition organiser, or their named representative, will be given five minutes to present the petition at the meeting and the petition will then be discussed by Elected Members. The total time for a petition debate will be 45 minutes. If the lead petitioner, or their named representative, does not attend the County Council meeting then the petition will be considered in their absence.
- (e) The County Council will decide how to respond to the petition at this meeting. They may decide to take the action the petition requests, not to take the action requested for reasons put forward in the debate, or to commission further investigation into the matter, for example by the relevant Cabinet Member or committee.
- (f) Where the issue is one on which the County Council's Executive is required to make the final decision, the County Council will decide whether to make recommendations to inform that decision.

- (g) The petition organiser will receive written confirmation of the Council's decision, which will also be published on our website.
- (h) The County Council will not debate a petition on the same decision/issue as one debated by the County Council within the previous six months.

5. Calling an Officer to give evidence at an Overview and Scrutiny Committee

- (a) Your petition may ask for a [senior council officer](#) to give evidence at a public meeting about something for which the officer is responsible as part of their job. For example, your petition may ask a senior council officer to explain progress on an issue, or to explain the advice given to elected members to enable them to make a particular decision.
- (b) If your petition contains at least 6,000 signatures for a countywide matter and 500 signatures (or multiples) for a County Council matter relating to a District area(s), the relevant senior officer, accompanied by the relevant Cabinet Member, will give evidence at a public meeting of one of the Council's overview and scrutiny committees. A list of the senior staff that can be called to give evidence can be found [here](#).
- (c) You should be aware that the overview and scrutiny committee may decide that it would be more appropriate for another officer to give evidence instead of any officer named in the petition – for instance if the named officer has changed jobs.
- (d) The lead petitioners or their named representative:
 - (i) will be invited to attend the meeting and to submit a written statement of no more than 500 words, which should be sent to the Democratic Services Unit (preferably by e-mail) to arrive by 5:00pm on the Monday of the week before the Overview & Scrutiny meeting;
 - (ii) will be allowed to address the Committee for up to 5 minutes to summarise their reviews and to amplify, but not repeat, any points in their written statement;
 - (iii) will then be allowed up to 5 minutes to ask questions of the officer (the 5 minutes does not include the time for answers to be given). These questions should be used to seek genuinely new information. Questions must not be asked to which the member of the public already knows the answer;
 - (iv) will receive written confirmation of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee's decision, which will also be published on our website

6. E-petitions

- (a) The Council welcomes [e-petitions](#) which are created and submitted through our website. E-petitions must follow the same guidelines as paper petitions (as set out above). The petition organiser will need to provide us with their name, postal address and email address. You will also need to decide how long you would like your petition to be open for signatures. Most petitions remain open

for a maximum of 3 months, but a shorter or longer timescale can be agreed with the petition organiser if appropriate.

- (b) When you create an e-petition, it may take up to 10 working days before it is published online. This is because we have to check that the content of your petition is suitable before it is made available for signature. If we feel we cannot publish your petition for some reason, we will contact you within this time to explain. You will be able to change and resubmit your petition if you wish. If you do not do this within 10 working days, a summary of the petition and the reason why it has not been accepted will be published under the 'rejected petitions' section of the website. When an e-petition has closed for signature, it will automatically follow the same process as a paper petition (as set out above)
- (c) In the same way as a paper petition, you will receive an acknowledgement within 5 working days of the close of the e-petition. A petition acknowledgement and response will be emailed to everyone who has signed the e-petition and elected to receive this information. The acknowledgment and response will also be published on this website.

7. How do I 'sign' an e-petition?

You can see all the [e-petitions](#) currently available for signature here [insert link]. When you sign an e-petition you will be asked to provide your name, your postcode and a valid email address. When you have submitted this information you will be sent an email to the email address you have provided. This email will include a link which you must click on in order to confirm the email address is valid. Once this step is complete your 'signature' will be added to the petition. People visiting the e-petition will be able to see your name in the list of those who have signed it but your contact details will not be visible.

8. What can I do if I feel my petition has not been dealt with properly?

- (a) If you feel that we have not dealt with your petition properly, the petition organiser has the right to request that the steps that the County Council has taken in response to your petition are reviewed. All reviews will be considered the Scrutiny Board.
- (b) It is helpful to everyone, and can improve the prospects for a review if the petition organiser gives a short explanation of the reasons why the County Council's response is not considered to be adequate.
- (c) The Board will endeavour to consider your request at its next meeting, although on some occasions this may not be possible and consideration will take place at the following meeting.
- (d) Should the Board determine that the County Council has not dealt with your petition adequately, it may use any of its powers to deal with the matter. These powers include instigating an investigation, making recommendations to the County Council's Executive and arranging for the matter to be considered at a meeting of the full County Council.

- (e) Once the appeal has been considered the petition organiser will be informed of the results within 5 working days. The results of the review will also be published on our website

Approved by the County Council on 22 July 2010
(Amended 16 December 2010)
In force from 1 September 2010

List of petitions received

E-Petitions received since 1 September 2010

| Subject | No of signatures | Response | |
|--|------------------|----------|-----------|
| | | Written | CC Debate |
| E petition - number of signatures for a County Council debate | 3 | √ | |
| Saltbin Criteria | 1 | √ | |
| Campaign Against A Frames (Advertising Boards) | 59 | √ | |
| Temporary Disabled Blue Badges | 72 | √ | |
| Kent Freedom Pass | 5633 | √ | |
| 'Essential' User Status | 785 | √ | |
| More Suitable Short Breaks for disabled Children & Their Families | 39 | √ | |
| Youth Service on Romney Marsh - Phase Youth Centre New Romney | 48 | √ | |
| Ashford library railway collection | 276 | √ | |
| Gluten-free prescriptions | 402 | √ | |
| EMA to continue or for some other financial support to take its place | 718 | √ | |
| Extend the Kent Freedom pass to 16-20 year olds | 12686 | | √ |
| Save Our Tunbridge Wells Netball League! | 99 | √ | |
| Increased pedestrian safety - St Gregory's RC Primary School & Salmestone Ward | 181 | √ | |
| Teenagers from ages 12-17 should have free gym and swimming membership | 95 | √ | |
| Youth Service Cuts/Restructuring | 318 | √ | |
| Removal of proposed site at Lydd for mineral extraction. | 21 | √ | |
| Save Ramsgate Youth Centres | 68 | √ | |
| Bring Our Boulders Back - A Kentish Express Campaign | 125 | √ | |
| Eco-friendly cleaning products in schools | 2 | √ | |
| A Grammar School for Sevenoaks | 2620 | | √ |
| Fight for Richborough, Keep Our Recycling | 567 | √ | |
| Arriva 155 'Late' Bus Services | 66 | √ | |
| Pedestrian Crossing Hythe Road | 44 | √ | |
| Pedestrian Crossing on St. Stephen's Hill, Canterbury | 1143 | | √ |
| SAT-School Allocation Trouble *combined with a paper petition | 798 | | √ |
| Reduce Number of Kent Councillors | 16 | √ | |
| Public footpath to be put down Bekesbourne Lane, Canterbury | 2 | √ | |

| Subject | No of signatures | Response | |
|--|------------------|----------|-----------|
| | | Written | CC Debate |
| A mirror at the junction of Tenterden Way and Millmead Road | 11 | √ | |
| Speed reduction to 30mph and pedestrian crossing on Bradbourne Vale Road Sevenoaks | 187 to date | | |
| Tomorrows People – Key Worker in the Parkwood and Shepway area of Maidstone | 19 to date | | |

Paper Petitions received since 1 September 2010

| Subject | No of signatures | Response | |
|---|------------------|----------|-----------|
| | | Written | CC debate |
| Manorbrooke Residential Home | 1390 | | √ |
| Request for the re-opening of right-turns off the A256 | 1001 | | √ |
| Objection to Exclusion of Motorcycles | 11 | √ | |
| Blackburn Lodge Care Home | 1674 | | √ |
| A Frames | 3417 | | √ |
| Boxley Parish Council - Speed limit on Boxley Rd/Beechen Bank Rd | 82 | √ | |
| Stop school traffic blocking residential drives, hope to have white line markings | 71 | √ | |
| Traffic Volumes - High Street East Malling | 32 | √ | |
| Objection to the Prohibition of Waiting Order Amendment No 1 Order 2010 - Upper Street & Harmony Street | 91 | √ | |
| Gritting West Street, Wrotham | 17 | √ | |
| Dobson Road Gritting | 38 | √ | |
| Condition of Road from residents of Belmont | 9 | √ | |
| Snow on Crosskeys Estate | 64 | √ | |
| Lack of response for Horton Kirby, South Darenth & Sutton at Home during the snow | 134 | √ | |
| London Rd, Wrotham Conditions in the Snow, Review of Gritting Routes | 117 | √ | |
| Request for a Zebra Crossing at Birling Rd, for Snodland COE Primary School | 213 | √ | |
| Make Murston a safe place to live, Stop lorries coming in to Murston | 195 | √ | |
| Highstead Lane, Highstead - 30mph Speed Limit | 22 | √ | |
| Speeding Traffic in Monkton Rd | 30 | √ | |
| Preston Street & Stone Street - Proposed One Way System | 186 | √ | |
| Snow Clearance/Gritting, Wooton/Denton Parish | 38 | √ | |
| Byways Open to all Traffic | 17 | √ | |
| Congestion - Wheatsheaf Close Area, Maidstone | 26 | √ | |
| Various Roads, Dover, Proposed 'at any Time' Waiting Restrictions | 38 | √ | |
| Resurfacing of Lower Green Rd, Pembury Infants | 139 | √ | |

| Subject | No of signatures | Response | |
|--|------------------|----------|-----------|
| | | Written | CC debate |
| School | | | |
| No Ball Games Sign to be Erected on Approach to Turning Circle Hilton Drive, Sittingbourne | 11 | √ | |
| 402 bus service - Weald | 193 | √ | |
| Bus Times and Concessionary Fares | 212 | √ | |
| Speed Limits in Sheephurst Lane, Collier Street | 68 | √ | |
| Construction of a Pelican Crossing at 84-86 Hereson Rd | 400 | √ | |
| Hollow Road to be made a cul-de-sac | 43 | √ | |
| Catly Close - Kerb to Kerb Speed Ramp | 61 | √ | |
| Bus Time/Passes | 73 | | |
| Prohibition of Driving on Hollow Lane, Canterbury | 15 | √ | |
| Vehicle Congestion & Pollution in Miskin Rd | 91 | √ | |
| Closure of Pheasant Lane | 38 | √ | |
| Proposed no waiting at any time - whole of Homersham, junction of Birch rd, Gilbert Way, Scott Ave | 64 | √ | |
| Cars parking in Kent Gardens during the week, birchington. Difficulty getting out of drives | 24 | √ | |
| Island Road, Sturry – Speed Limit Reduction | 315 | √ | |
| Lane Running from Bower Mount Rd to Unadopted Highway to Rear of Houses, to be Re-Opened | 38 | √ | |
| Speed Limit Through Bidborough Village Should be 30 mph | 672 | √ | |
| Cornfields Residential Home | 1816 | | √ |
| Pedestrian Crossing, Coldharbour Lane/Tonbridge Road | 36 | √ | |
| Sid in Seal Hollow Road | 22 | √ | |
| Road calming measures in Vines Lane | 42 | √ | |
| Petition for speed limit restriction on B2042 through Ide Hill and Gouthurst Common | 54 | √ | |
| Sampson Court, Deal | 6000 | | √ |
| The Limes Proposed Closure | 3372 | | √ |
| Dangerous and Excessive Buses and Speeding Cars Using Postley Rd | 58 | √ | |
| Fawkham School rd Safety Campaign. Speed limit to be reduced to 20 mph from 40 mph | 135 | √ | |
| Continued flooding, complaints made - nothing done - damaging gardens and garages | 29 | √ | |
| Request for the implementation of a 17 ton weight restriction through Yalding | 569 | √ | |
| Human Trafficking | 69 | √ | |
| Harmful Effects of the New Path Material on the Stanhope Estate | 253 | √ | |
| 20mph Speed Limits around Schools | 993 | √ | |
| Parking of JCB, | 18 | √ | |
| Traffic lights at the junction of Cross Lane West | 101 | √ | |

| Subject | No of signatures | Response | |
|---|------------------|----------|-----------|
| | | Written | CC debate |
| & Singlewell Rd - causing speeders, forcing people | | | |
| Tunbridge Wells to Hawkhurst 267 Bus Service – Request for an extra bus service | 117 | √ | |
| Rethink - EKFS | 1620 | √ | |
| Bowles Lodge, Hawkhurst | 1992 | | √ |
| Free Travel Starting from 9am again, not 9.30am | 52 | √ | |
| Parking in St Mary's Ave Margate | 14 | √ | |
| The Rambers 'Dead End?' Postcard petition - Please ensure that your footpaths are protected | 24 | √ | |
| Safety of Brunswick House Pupils | 202 | √ | |
| Request for a Zebra Crossing - South Ave Sittingbourne | 17 | √ | |
| Danedale Ave | 23 | √ | |
| Stanley Ave, Queenborough | 49 | √ | |
| Illegally parked vehicle in Dorset Rd | 64 | √ | |
| Petition - Opposition to Thames Crossing East of Gravesend | 19 | √ | |
| Hawkinge Household Waste Recycling Centre | 587 | √ | |
| Ringden Avenue, Paddock wood – Request for waiting restrictions | 12 | √ | |
| Oakwood Road, Maidstone – repair of the footpath | 19 | √ | |
| B2017 Speed Restrictions | 674 | √ | |
| Review Speed Limit Ightham Stretch of the A25 | 756 | √ | |
| Leysdown Road, Sheerness | 240 | √ | |
| Grange Road, Ramsgate | 179 | √ | |
| Westcourt Lane, Shepherdswell – Footpath Extension | 36 | √ | |
| Review of Minnis Day Centre, Brichington | 157 | √ | |
| Tankerton Rd, Whistable - Carriageway Conditions | 93 | √ | |
| North Rd Hythe, Kent | 120 | √ | |
| Station Road, Dunton Green – Removal of 'pinch points' | 86 | √ | |
| Opposing the potential closure of Aylesham Youth Club and Linwood Youth Centre Deal | 3,994 | | √ |
| Teelin Close, St Mary's Bay – Condition of Road | 19 | √ | |
| Oakwood Road Maidstone, Repair of footpath | 19 | √ | |
| Whitstable Road, Zebra Crossing Petition | 18 | √ | |
| London Road, Westerham – Traffic Calming Request | 209 | √ | |
| Save Ramsgate Youth Clubs | 1417 | | √ |
| Opposing the closure of Richborough Household Waste Recycling Centre | 1302 | | √ |
| SAT-School Allocation Trouble *combined with an e-petition | 373 | | √ |
| Cedar Drive, Edenbridge – quality of recent | 53 | √ | |

| Subject | No of signatures | Response | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | Written | CC debate |
| resurfacing | | | |
| Main Road, Sutton at Hone – Traffic Calming | 21 | √ | |
| Sydney & Saddleton Roads, Whitstable – resurfacing | 148 | √ | |
| Westcourt Lane, Shepherdswell – Footpath Extension | 36 | √ | |
| Tonbridge Wells to Hawkhurst 267 Bus Service – Request for an extra bus service | 117 | √ | |
| Petition re bus services for Maidstone Hospital | 349 | √ | |
| Ringden Avenue | 12 | √ | |
| Pear Tree Avenue, Aylesford - Daily School Traffic | 72 | √ | |
| Cedar Drive, Edenbridge | 53 | √ | |
| Island Road Sturry, Speed Limit Reduction | 315 | √ | |
| Traffic Calming in Main Road, Dartford | 21 | √ | |
| Chestnut Street & Danaway Traffic Calming Campaign | 207 | √ | |
| Stockbury Village 20MPH Petition | 63 | √ | |

The petitions in **bold** have triggered a debate at County Council.